




Aurora

Enriching lives, Enriching Communities

Capacity & Consent Policy

Policy Number	Policy Developed by	Date Developed
05 – Other Policies	Geri Wilson & Áine Forde	21.01.2020
Version	Amendments	
	Updated to reflect commencement of ADMCA 2015	
Reviewed by		Review completed
Selina Doyle/ Áine Forde		22.05.2024
CEO signature		Next Review Date
		22.05.2026

Mission Statement

Enable people with complex needs to experience the same rights as every other citizen and as equal members of the community.

Contents

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Rationale	3
3.0	Scope	3
4.0	Relevant Legislation/Policy	3
5.0	Capacity.....	4
6.0	Guiding Principles	4
7.0	Functional Capacity	4
8.0	Consent	5
9.0	List of Appendices	7
10.0	Appendix 1	8
11.0	Appendix 2	12

1.0 Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide a guide to the principles underlying capacity and consent outlined in the Assisted Decision-Making (Capacity) Act 2015 (the Act) commenced in all parts, for all persons supported by Aurora Kilkenny. The Act only applies to people over 18. The Act will affect the work of everyone working in health (physical and mental health) and social care, including those working in statutory, voluntary, community and privately funded organisations.

All persons have equal legal rights. Some people may need assistance and support to exercise their individual rights. A relevant person who may lack capacity to make his or her own decision due to a disability, lifelong condition or acquired condition may require assistance and support to exercise his or her individual rights. This includes people with intellectual or physical disability, cognitive difficulties due for example to acquired brain injury, dementia and people with mental health problems. These rights are protected under the Constitution of Ireland, the European Convention on Human Rights and the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD).

This policy is in line with the HSE National Policy for Safeguarding of Vulnerable Adults at Risk of Abuse and the Aurora Protocol for Gaining Consent from People Supported.

2.0 Rationale

Aurora complies with the Assisted Decision-Making Capacity Act 2015 and applies the principles of the Act as outlined below. It will apply to all persons supported by Aurora. All are treated equally under this policy.

3.0 Scope

This policy applies to all employees of Aurora but includes agency personnel, Volunteers and anyone who is acting under the auspices of Aurora. The need for consent and the application of the general principles included in this policy extends to all decisions required from the people we support. How the principles are applied, such as the amount of information provided and the degree of discussion needed to obtain valid consent, will vary with the particular situation.

4.0 Relevant Legislation/Policy

- Assisted Decision Making Capacity Act 2015
- HSE National Policy for Safeguarding of Vulnerable Adults at Risk of Abuse 2014
- HSE National Consent Policy 2019
- Aurora Protocol for Gaining Consent from People Supported **Appendix 1**
- Aurora Consent Policy 2015
- Aurora Process for Consent Protocol **Appendix 2**

5.0 Capacity

The Act defines Capacity as 'decision-making capacity' and it is the ability to understand, at the time that a decision is to be made, the nature and consequences of the decision to be made by the person supported in the context of the available choices at that time.

Presumption of capacity means that it shall be presumed that the person has capacity in respect of a specific matter unless otherwise shown. The onus of proving that a person lacks capacity to make a decision is on the person who is questioning a relevant person's ability to make a particular decision.

A relevant person means a person whose capacity is in question or may shortly be in question in respect of one or more than one matter i.e. a person who may have difficulty in reaching a decision without the support of someone.

A person can be said to lack capacity to make a decision if they are not able to: -

- Understand the information relevant to the decision
- Retain that information long enough to make a voluntary choice
- Use or weigh that information as part of the process of making the decision
- Communicate his or her decision in whatever way they communicate (not only verbally)

People may differ in the amount of assistance they may require to make decisions but this does not necessarily mean that they lack decision-making capacity.

6.0 Guiding Principles

As stated, there is a presumption of decision-making capacity unless the contrary is shown.

- No intervention will take place unless it is necessary.
- A person will be treated as unable to make a decision only when all practicable steps to help that person to make a decision have been unsuccessful
- Any act done or decision made under the Act must be done or made in a way which is least restrictive of a person's rights and freedom
- Any act done or decisions made under the Act in support or on behalf a person with impaired capacity must give effect to the person's will and preference.

7.0 Functional Capacity

The Act states that when using a functional approach:

'A person's capacity shall be assessed on the basis of his or her ability to understand, at the time that a decision is to be made, the nature and consequences of the decision to be made by him or her in the context of the available choices at the time.'

Functional capacity is therefore:

- Issue specific – capacity is assessed only in relation to the decision in question
- Time specific – capacity is assessed only at the time in question
- Dependent on how a relevant person makes a decision and not the nature and wisdom of that decision

In keeping with the functional approach to capacity, the question of who should assess capacity will depend on the particular decision to be made. In the context of health and social care and treatment decisions it is the health and social care professional who needs the decision to be made. For example, where consent to medical treatment or examination is required, it will be the health and social care professional who is suggesting the treatment that must decide whether the relevant person has the capacity to consent to the treatment and he or she must assess the relevant person's capacity if this is necessary.

Statutory Guiding Principles for 'Interveners'.

- Presumption of capacity: already applies
- Steps must be taken to maximise capacity and encourage participation
- 'Right to be unwise'
- Minimal intervention
- Respect for dignity, bodily integrity, privacy and autonomy
- Give effect to past and present will and preferences
- No reference to 'Best Interests'
- Act in good faith and for the benefit of the relevant person

8.0 Consent

The principles of the presumption of capacity supporting decision making and adopting a functional approach to capacity are supported by the HSE National Consent Policy. There is a close relationship between informed consent and capacity as only persons with the requisite decision-making capacity can provide a valid consent to an intervention or receipt or use of a service.

Consent is the giving of permission or agreement for an intervention, receipt or use of a service or participation in research following a process of communication in which the person supported has received sufficient information to enable him/her to understand the nature, potential risks and benefits of the proposed intervention or service (HSE 2022).

Consent must be obtained before starting treatment or investigation or providing personal or social care for people we support or involving persons supported in training and research. This requirement is consistent with fundamental ethical principles with good practice in communication and decision making.

This is well established in Irish case law and ethical standards. The requirement for consent is also recognised in international and European Human Rights and under the Irish Constitution.

For the consent to be valid, the person supported must:

1. Have received sufficient information in a comprehensible manner about the nature, purpose, benefits and risks of an intervention/service or research project.
2. Not be acting under duress and have the capacity to make the particular decision.

Therefore, other than in exceptional circumstances, treating persons supported without their consent is a violation of their legal and constitutional rights and may result in civil or criminal proceedings been taken by the person(s) supported. No other persons such as a family member, friend or direct support worker and no organisation can give or refuse consent to a health or social care service on behalf of an adult supported person who lacks capacity to consent unless they have specific legal authority to do so.

9.0 List of Appendices

Appendix 1 - Aurora Protocol for Gaining Consent from People Supported

Appendix 2 – Aurora Process for Consent Protocol

10.0 Appendix 1

Aurora, Kilkenny Protocol for Gaining Consent from People Supported

1.0 ISSUE

1. Assisting the Person Supported to make a decision based on their will and preference
2. Releasing any personal or sensitive data belonging to the people supported to third parties
3. Utilising personal or sensitive data belonging to people supported in tracking success and for training purposes, internally and externally

Aurora observes the legislation both in this protocol and in practice.

2.0 DECISION-MAKING

There is no specific procedure for making medical or other decisions on behalf of people with intellectual disability. Best practice and international human rights standards favour 'supported decision making' where possible. This requires that efforts must be made to support individuals in making decisions for themselves where this is possible (HSE National Consent Policy 2022).

Meaningful interaction about rights, risks and responsibilities is required. While the decisions of individuals must be respected, respect for autonomy can never be used to avoid engagement and discussion. It is essential that all decisions are fully informed as part of a thorough consent process.

3.0 LEGISLATION/POLICY

For the purposes of 1 – 3 above, Aurora will comply with the following guidelines and legislation.

- A. Guiding Principles, Section 8, Assisted Decision-Making (Capacity) Act 2015
- B. Mental Health Act 2001
- C. Data Protection Bill 2018
- D. HSE National Consent Policy 2017
- E. Article 29 Data Protection Working Party – Guidelines on transparency under Regulation 2016/679
- F. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)
- G. Supporting People's Autonomy (a guidance document) HIQA

Transparency is not defined in the GDPR. However, Recital 39 of the GDPR states

'It should be transparent to natural persons that personal data concerning them are collected, used, consulted or otherwise processed and to what extent the personal data are or will be processed'

Articles 13 and 14 of the GDPR contain a provision that requires a data controller to inform a data subject, if it intends to further process their personal data for a purpose other than that for which it was collected/obtained. Information in relation to further processing must be provided prior to that further processing.

In addition to the ADMC, referencing the HSE National Consent Policy, HIQA guidance, Irish case law, national and international guidelines suggest that in making decisions for those who lack capacity, the health and social care professional should determine their will and preferences if known. In adherence to the ADMC legislation, the health & social care worker will act in good faith and for the benefit for the person.

The Health and Social Care professional should:

- Presume capacity unless otherwise demonstrated.
- Consider whether the capacity of the person supported is temporary or permanent.
- Support and encourage the person supported to be involved, as far as they want to and are able, in decisions about them
- Seek any evidence of the previously expressed preferences of the person supported, such as an advance statement or decision, evidence of will and preference and of the previous wishes and beliefs of the person supported
- Consider the views of anyone the person supported asks you to consult
- Consider the views of people who have a close, on-going, personal relationship with the person supported such as family or friends
- Consider the views of people who have an in-depth knowledge of the person such as support workers (all disciplines).
- Consider involvement of an independent advocate to support/represent the person regarding the decision-making process.

The National HSE Consent Policy also specifically states the role of the family is not to make the final decision on behalf of the supported person, but rather to provide greater insight into his/her previously expressed views and preferences and to outline what they believe the individual would have wanted.

Creating a Circle of Support (Decision Supporters) where there are relevant and representative members to support the person. These members may include the person, family member, staff member, MDT member and independent advocate (not exhaustive).

In adherence with the Guiding Principles set out in Section 8 of the Assisted Decision-Making (Capacity) Act 2015, Decision Supporters shall:-

- Permit, encourage and facilitate the person to participate or to improve his or her ability to participate as fully as possible
- If making a decision for the person, take into account the person's own known past and present will and preferences so that the decision is as close as possible to the decision the person might have made for him/herself in so far as that is possible

- If making a decision for the person they take into account:-
 - His or her beliefs and values
 - Any other factors which he/she would be likely to consider if able to do so
 - Consider the views of others he/she names as people to be consulted
 - Act at all times **in good faith and for his/her benefit**
 - Consider all other circumstances which it would be reasonable to regard as relevant
 - Consider the views of any carer/person with a genuine interest in his/her welfare
 - Consider the likelihood of his/her recovering the ability to make the decision for him/herself against the urgency of the matter to be decided
- All decisions (interventions) taken concerning the life or affairs of a person supported by decision supporters shall be:-
 - The decision that **least restricts that person's rights and freedom**
 - The decision that is most respectful of that **person's dignity, bodily integrity, privacy and continued right to have autonomy and control over his/her financial affairs and property**
 - A decision that is proportionate to the significance and urgency of the matter requiring a decision
 - In place for as short a time as possible taking into account the particular circumstances of the matter needing to be decided

4.0 PROTOCOL

1. Assume capacity unless otherwise demonstrated
2. A functional capacity assessment for capacity should be carried out with the person supported in order to determine whether a person lacks capacity to make a decision. The assessment must relate to the particular decision being made and the specific time that the decision is to be made. This assessment must be carried out by a prescribed professional as identified under the Act.
3. The ADM sets out a legislative basis for the Functional Assessment Test for Capacity as follows: -

As per S. 3(2), the Functional Test for Capacity finds a person will lack capacity to make a decision if he or she is unable:

- To understand the information relevant to the decision;
 - To retain that information long enough to make a voluntary choice
 - To use or weigh that information as part of the process of making the decision;
- or

- To communicate his or her decision (whether by talking, writing, using sign language, assistive technology)
4. Should the person supported be deemed to lack capacity after the functional capacity assessment is carried out, a decision supporter will be identified within the range of tiers under the Act
 5. In order to establish the will and preference of the person supported a Circle of Support meeting will be convened to assist the person supported with their decision. That meeting may include the following:-
 - a. The person supported making the decision
 - b. The Social Worker/Advocate
 - c. The Key Worker supporting the Person Supported (if appropriate)
 - d. A family member of the Person Supported
 - e. An external person with sufficient experience working with people with disabilities
 6. Reference should be made to any relevant documentation such as Conditions for Success, Incident and Accidents reports and any relevant MDT recommendations that might assist informing the decision.
 7. The outstanding decision is to be discussed in the presence of the person being supported to make the decision, should they wish to attend.
 8. The decision supporters should be aware of the potential of undue influence and ensure that person supported is protected from same.
 9. In coming to a decision, the decision supporters should consider Culturally Valued Analogue (CVA) when arriving at same.
 10. When coming to a decision, the decision supporters should give consideration to the greater good and/or longer-term benefits when arriving at same.


When the decision is made, the meeting should be documented and a note of the outcome of the decision placed on the file of the person supported.

PROCEDURES TO SUPPORT

THE PROTOCOL FOR GAINING CONSENT FROM THE PEOPLE WE SUPPORT.

The following procedure is set out to support the implementation of the protocol for gaining consent from the people supported in Aurora.

The person supported must be central to the procedure and be supported to be actively engaged , Employees must utilise a Total Communication approach e.g. easy read documentation, pictures, videos, Lamh etc as required to maximise the Person’s capacity to understand what is being asked of them.




STEP TWO

In line with protocol, a Topic specific Circle of Support meeting will take place to support a decision to be taken.

The presumption of capacity should be the starting point of the meeting

This step should reflect the level of impact on the person supported.



WHO

- The person supported making the decision if appropriate
- The Person's Circle of Support member/s
- The Social Worker / Advocate
- An external person with sufficient experience working with people with disabilities and issue at hand if necessary



WHERE

In an appropriate setting and environment that best suits the person's needs and will create conditions for success.



WHEN

In a timely fashion that enables the person to go at their pace and allows for the process to be credible.



TOOLS

- Conditions for Success forms
- Incident & Accidents reports
- Total Communication Booklet
- Communication Passport
- Circle of Support Minutes
- East read documents
- Any relevant MDT recommendations that might assist informing the decision.
- Culturally Valued Analogue (CVA)



EVIDENCE

- The Person's will and preference
- The Guiding Principles of the ADM Act are upheld
- Most appropriate level of Assisted Decision-making level utilised including informal supports

STEP THREE

